

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
APPLICATION FOR LETTERS PATENT

INVENTOR:

Andreas Dieberger

TITLE:

Sound Pattern Feedback for Informational Events During Typing

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of Invention

The present invention relates generally to the field of word processing. More specifically, the present invention is related to a computer-based word processing program used to aid in the correction of typographical and formatting errors.

Discussion of Prior Art

Computer-based word processing programs, such as spell check and online thesauruses, are widely used to assist in the correction of incorrectly inputted data. When implemented, these programs also offer solutions to replace found errors, or allow the user to add new words to the program's memory.

The use of audible signals or alarms is also implemented in several of these programs. As a user types words or similar data, the program refers back to the dictionary as stored in the memory to assure proper spelling. Should the program not find a word similar in spelling, an alarm will be activated to alert the user of the error. The user can then take the necessary steps to correct the misspelling. Examples of prior art systems using this technology or variations thereof are described below.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,689,768 discusses the use of a spelling verification system on a typewriter with an alarm that alerts the operator of inputted words that do not match any of those stored in the dictionary's memory.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,807,181 describes an electronic typewriter having a memory containing a spell-checking dictionary and a multi-character display. If the user enters characters not recognized by the memory, the user is alerted by an audible alarm and given on the display a successive amount of words that appear to be similar in spelling for use or for addition into the dictionary's memory.

5

U.S. Pat. No. 4,829,472 provides a spelling check module to be used in conjunction with a typewriter or personal computer that alerts the user by way of an audible beep of a misspelled word. The spelling check module is connected to the keyboard and data processor to receive the correct input. The module also includes a personal dictionary to which words can be added by the user for customization.

10

U.S. Pat. No. 4,830,521 discusses an electronic typewriter or word processor with a spelling check function and proper noun recognition, and an alarm means used for issuing an alarm when an input word is determined to be incorrectly spelled or not a proper noun.

15

U.S. Pat. No. 4,913,566 describes a typing device with a spelling check function that retrieves relational word data in a dictionary memory and displays a detection of any misspelled words while in the print mode. When a word is found that does not match any of those located in the memory, an alarm is sound to alert the user.

20

U.S. Pat. No. 4,923,314 presents a thesaurus feature for electronic typewriters in which words are identified in the dictionary feature in the typewriter memory, and the data base is scanned to

display found synonyms and misspellings. If a possible error is found, an alarm alerts the user of a misspelled word.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,112,148 provides an electronic typewriter with a word processing system that checks the spelling of each word with the stored memory and alerts the operator of a misspelling by activating an audible alarm.

U.S. Pat. No. 5,189,610 discusses the use of an electronic dictionary on a typewriter or personal computer that is fully customizable to a specific application. If an error is found when comparing the input to the dictionary memory in the spelling check module, an audible indication of two beeps is activated to alert the operator that a word is misspelled.

Whatever the precise merits, features and advantages of the above cited references, none of them achieve or fulfill the purposes of the present invention. Previous computer-based word processing programs appear limited to single occurrence checking of spelling. Although spelling and thesaurus checking are important, formatting rules are also vital in the inputting process. Forms, templates and documents such as those for billing can easily be entered incorrectly, even though the user's information never changes. Simple formatting mistakes, such as incorrect e-mail or world wide web addresses or errors made when writing programs can also be hard to find (such as leaving a simple parenthesis out). What is needed is a system that intelligently detects and selectively notifies the user of formatting errors existing over one or more entries.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 The word processing program of the present invention is capable of performing several different functions while alerting the user by audible patterns of possible errors and appropriate changes. Errors are determined by intelligent monitoring of structured data input. By using a patterned sound indicator, the user knows immediately when a fault during the input process has been made. Once warned, the user has three options: (1) taking the program's suggestion and allowing the program to automatically fix the error, (2) manually correcting the mistake that was found, or (3) ignoring the mistake.

10 The invention is embodied in many implementations to not only aid the user in simplifying the input process, but also to warn the user of any mistakes that may have been made, as well as a solution for them. Those described herein include, but are not limited to, punctuation correction, data entry formatting analysis, comparing to stored personal information, spell checking, and quick entry/correction of programming languages. In one embodiment, personal information for the user is pre-stored, providing less time when supplying, for example, addresses and phone numbers, to forms and documents. Additionally, providing sound feedback can be particularly useful for disabled persons for increasing the speed and accuracy of data input.

15

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates a general overview of the program process.

Figure 2 illustrates a detailed flow diagram of the spelling, grammatical, and tense checking processes.

Figure 3 illustrates a detailed flow diagram of the data entry format process.

Figure 4 illustrates a detailed flow diagram of the recognition of stored personal information.

Figure 5 illustrates an alternative flow diagram providing suggestions of stored personal information.

Figure 6 illustrates a detailed flow diagram of the programming language and format correction process.

Figure 7 illustrates a general computer-based device in which the described word processing program can be implemented.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

While this invention is illustrated and described in a preferred embodiment, the device may be produced in many different configurations, forms and materials. There is depicted in the drawings, and will herein be described in detail, a preferred embodiment of the invention, with the understanding that the present disclosure is to be considered as an exemplification of the principles of the invention and the associated functional specifications for its construction and is not intended to limit the invention to the embodiment illustrated. Those skilled in the art will recognize additional embodiments using the patterned sound indicator and a computer-based monitoring of quick entry and formatting errors without departing from the scope of the present invention.

Figure 1 illustrates a general overview of the word processing program process **100**. Input **102** is received and stored in a temporary memory **104** of a computer. Typically inputs are typed characters from a keyboard, but other computer-based inputs may be substituted without departing from the scope of the present invention. The program then compares the temporarily stored input **104** to that of program memory **106** (data stored locally or remotely – e.g. the web). If the entry is recognized **108**, it is then determined whether the entry is complete **110**. If the entry is found to be recognized, such as recognizing a user's pre-stored complete personal address or Social Security number, a sound pattern is selected **112**. This sound pattern is provided to the user **114** to alert of the correlation, and the recognized information can be suggested to the user as possible input **116**. The user may then opt to take the suggestion and replace the entry with the program's selected input **118**, and continue working without further distraction. In an alternative embodiment (not shown), the user is given a suggestive feedback option (such as a date entry) to assist in manual correction entry even when the entry is not recognized. Alternately, the user may opt to ignore the sound pattern entirely based on the level of severity indicated by the sound pattern. Different sound patterns are thus intended to elicit differing levels of awareness and action by the user.

The sound patterns that are selected by the program are specifically low-key sounds that are used to indicate to the user a particular correlation found or an event that has occurred. A different sound pattern is applied to each recognized reading, so that the user can identify and associatively recognize the correlation or correction made by the sound pattern that is relayed by the system, allowing the user to have the option of disrupting workflow or continue working.

The low-key sounds that are used as a sound pattern indicator are preferably "earcons", or abstract sounds that do not have a real world equivalent, e.g. a three tone chord in a major key. An earcon sound is assigned for positive feedback and an additional sound for negative feedback, both of which have slight variations to indicate, for example, the severity of a pattern found. The slight variation in tone allows the user to identify a problem by the sound that is selected. The user, therefore, when in an ideal, noiseless environment, interprets the severity of the pattern by recognizing the tonal version of the earcon that is provided.

If the entry is recognized by the program 108, but it does not appear to be complete 110, the input is then checked for possible errors 120. If errors appear to be present, the program then follows a similar process of selecting a sound pattern 112, providing the selected sound pattern to the user 114, and offering a more suitable, preferably correct, input possibility, which the user may accept or deny 118. Errors, in this invention, typically involve single word formatting or analysis using rule based systems for a small number of words. Such rule systems include, but are not limited to, those capable of detecting formatting errors or improper use of a template entry area. In the preferred embodiment, errors should be detected substantially simultaneously with the occurrence, so as not to be intrusive to the typist. In most cases, if immediate feedback cannot be given, it is better to not provide feedback rather than presenting it too late. The specific rule based systems and associated methods are well known in the art and have not been included with this detailed description. Any word processing rules based system can be used within the system of the present invention without departing from the scope thereof.

Again, if errors appear to be present, a sound pattern is immediately generated, allowing the user to fix the problem or identified relation as it happens. For example, should the user tab out of a field without correctly entering data (as further discussed below), sound feedback occurs right away before the user begins typing in another field. In word processing programs, sound feedback occurs before a new word (or words) is typed. The rapid response of the sound feedback to the entered information allows the user to continue working without constant interruption of workflow.

Otherwise, if the entry is not recognized by the program, the program continues to compare the input information until a pattern is found. However, if the user proceeds to the next field in a form or to the next word or sentence, the search for a match is abandoned.

Figure 2 illustrates a flow chart **200** detecting spell check, punctuation and format checking functions. Data is entered **202** by the user (e.g., typed on keyboard). The inputted information is then stored into temporary memory **204**. The program analyzes whether input data **202** is a character **206**. If yes, the input is sent directly to the spell-checking dictionary **208**. A memory check is performed to find a proper spelling **210**, and, if the spelling of the input **202** is found not to be correct (i.e., misspelled word) the program then follows a similar process of selecting a sound pattern **212**, providing the selected sound pattern to the user **214**, and offering a more suitable input possibility **216**, which the user may explicitly accept, manually replace, or ignore. If accepted, the program replaces the error with the found solution **218**.

Should the spelling of the input appear correct, the program analyzes the format (e.g., e-mail,

date, number of characters, e.g., driver's license) **226** of the input. The format **226** determines, for example, if the expected format for a specific entry on a form/template is used. If not, the program then follows a similar process of selecting a sound pattern **212**, providing the selected sound pattern to the user **214**, and offering a more suitable input possibility **216**, which the user may explicitly accept, manually replace, or ignore. In the form/template example, the sound is preferably made before or immediately after the user completes an entry, so as to allow correction without having to place the cursor back into the entry area. Alternatively, if the user has configured the system to automatically replace text with suggestions whenever possible, low-key sound feedback should notify the user of each instance of such automatic replacement.

If the inputted key is not a character (from step **206**), the program checks to see if the data input **202** is a mark of punctuation **220**. If yes, a memory check **222** is performed and correct use of the input is analyzed **224**. If the program detects that the input is used incorrectly or a better key can be utilized, the above steps **212-218** are repeated.

Figure 3 illustrates a more specific embodiment of the above process, the process **300** analyzing specific data that is entered. This data can include information such as phone numbers, e-mail addresses, URLs, website addresses, et al. If the data input **302** is incorrectly formatted **308**, for instance, if a key character is omitted, or if the data that is entered and stored in the temporary memory **304** does not match the format of the given field as found in the program memory **306**, the program follows a process of selecting an appropriate sound pattern **310**, providing the selected sound pattern to the user **312**, and giving suggestions **314**. Should the user wish to accept the

possible suggestion, the program will offer a more suitable input possibility **316**. The user may explicitly accept, manually replace, or ignore the suggestion of replacing the entry with the selected input **318**.

5 Alternatively, should the program find an error in the format matching process that is severe, the system "forces" the user to fix the problem. In this case, the sound feedback provided would indicate a "severe" problem, identify the problem that occurred, and, instead of suggesting input possibilities, place the cursor back into the field(s) that were just tabbed out of and need to be reentered before continuing.

10 In another instance, as shown in flow chart **400** in Figure 4, if a user begins inputting data **402** for a standard document or form, such as an order form, report, etc. that may include a complete mailing address or telephone number, the program may recognize the stored data **404** while performing a memory check **406**. If the inputted data is recognized for quick entry **408**, an appropriate sound pattern **410** is selected, then provided to the user **412**, and an input possibility is offered **414** (e.g. a full address and telephone number is provided). The user may accept or deny the suggestion of replacing the entry with the selected input **416**. This application is also utilized for Web based forms, as described below.

20 Alternatively, the suggested input can be a different color, text size, font, etc. to indicate the data as retrieved from the database. The user is then approached with a second confirmation for acceptance of the provided data.

As an additional alternative, should the user decide not to accept the full suggested input **518**, one of two options is chosen, as shown in Figure 5. The user deletes the suggested information provided by the system and reenters the correct information **520**, or selects certain entries of the suggested data to remain in the form **522** and enters the correct data in the additional fields. For example, this is useful for billing and shipping forms, should the billing address be the same, but a new shipping address need to be entered.

Figure 6 illustrates an embodiment **600** of the present invention being utilized with a programming language. A user inputs program data/codes **602**. As the user continues to enter the program, each word/line is checked by the program by comparing the stored input **604** to that of the program memory. The program can check for quick entry of the language terms or for a number of programming syntax mistakes, such as incorrect terms, missing parenthesis, or end terminations. If the entry is recognized **606**, it is then determined whether the entry can be completed by quick entry **608**. If the program can provide entry data, a sound pattern is selected **610**. This sound pattern is provided to the user **612**, and the recognized information can be suggested to the user **614** as a possible input. The user then opts to replace the entry with the program's selected input **616**.

If the entry is recognized by the program, but it does not appear to be recognized for quick entry (step **610**), the input is then checked for possible syntax errors **618**. If errors appear to be present, the above steps **612-616** are repeated.

The sound feedback system described above is also useful for any type of data entry system,

including form based data entry where users alternate to and from several fields, for instance, by the use of a tab key (see Figure 4). For example, the Internet provides the ease of ordering products, such as airline/hotel reservations, through online order forms. Use of sound feedback for Internet and Web forms will assist in the avoidance of annoying errors by immediately indicating a detected pattern as the user moves through the form. Should the user input an address, for example, and "tab" to the next field without providing a zip code, a sound pattern will be immediately provided to alert the user of the mistake, rather than be presented with an error after submission of the form, and, possibly, the burden of re-entering the information.

Sound feedback for data entry programs such as online Web forms can indicate, as shown in Figure 4, incorrect formatting of phone numbers, zip codes, etc. or incorrect date selection (for example, when arranging flight connections). Again, as previously indicated, upon recognition of data to be entered, sound feedback is activated to indicate to the user that automatic entry can be activated. Should the user accept the suggestion, the system replaces the entry with the selected input.

The above enhancements for the present invention and its described functional elements are implemented in various computing environments. For example, the present invention may be implemented on a conventional IBM PC or equivalent, multi-nodal system (e.g. LAN) or networking system (e.g. Internet, WWW, wireless web). Figure 7 illustrates a computer-based system 700 which the word processing program can be implemented. The system preferably consists of a computer display monitor 702, an input device, preferably a keyboard 704, mouse 706, and a sound source

708. System 700 also comprises memory for storing information, which is preferably, but not limited to, a personal computer's hard drive 710 (although storage may be through remote locations located across networks, such as the Internet, WWW or wireless web). All programming, GUIs, display panels and dialog box templates, and data related thereto are stored in computer memory, static or dynamic, and may be retrieved by the user in any of: conventional computer storage, display (i.e., CRT) and/or hardcopy (i.e., printed) formats. The programming of the present invention may be implemented by one of skill in the art of general computer programming and, more specifically, word-processing programming.

CONCLUSION

A system and method has been shown in the above embodiments for the effective implementation of sound pattern feedback for informational events during typing. While various preferred embodiments have been shown and described, it will be understood that there is no intent to limit the invention by such disclosure, but rather, it is intended to cover all modifications and alternate constructions falling within the spirit and scope of the invention, as defined in the appended claims. For example, the present invention should not be limited by software/program, computing environment, specific computing hardware and specific sound patterns or discernible formats.